

**This Page is Inserted by IFW Indexing and Scanning
Operations and is not part of the Official Record**

BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images include but are not limited to the items checked:

- ☐ **BLACK BORDERS**
- ☐ **IMAGE CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES**
- ☐ **FADED TEXT OR DRAWING**
- ☐ **BLURRED OR ILLEGIBLE TEXT OR DRAWING**
- ☐ **SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES**
- ☐ **COLOR OR BLACK AND WHITE PHOTOGRAPHS**
- ☐ **GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS**
- ☐ **LINES OR MARKS ON ORIGINAL DOCUMENT**
- ☐ **REFERENCE(S) OR EXHIBIT(S) SUBMITTED ARE POOR QUALITY**
- ☐ **OTHER: _____**

IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

As rescanning these documents will not correct the image problems checked, please do not report these problems to the IFW Image Problem Mailbox.



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/737,494	12/15/2000	Vincent R. Cyr	78-00	7574

37158 7590 08/26/2004

JOSEPH E. CHOVANES
SUITE 329
5 GREAT VALLEY PARKWAY
MALVERN, PA 19355

EXAMINER

INGBERG, TODD D

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2124

DATE MAILED: 08/26/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

25

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/737,494	CYR ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Todd Ingberg	2124	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24 June 2004.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 28-84 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-27 is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 5/19/2004 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☒ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>6/24/04</u> . | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 28 - 84 have been examined.

Claims 1 – 27 have been canceled.

Claims 28 – 42 were not elected. As part of Restriction practice may be filed in a Divisional.

Claims 43 – 84 have been added.

Drawings

1. The PTO's new Electronic Desktop Application Navigator (eDAN) system contains three different versions of the Drawings. The version where figures 5 and 6 are not shaded are approved but need to be resubmitted so the Examiner can be sure the proper drawings are used in the case. Examiner *apologizes* for this inconvenience.

Specification

2. Amendment as a result of Restriction practice and Preliminary amendment claims 43 – 84 remain in this case.

3. The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed. Title should not contain words like *apparatus* and *systems* or *method*.

Information Disclosure Statement

4. The Information disclosure Statement of June 24, 2004 has been considered.

In the event, the invention is related to EDI and implementing EDI Data, EDIFACT and transaction sets an IDS teaching these structures would be appreciated. It appears to the Examiner the invention is related to implementing EDI in a specific industry.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

6. Claims 43 - 84 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Template Software in view of Electronic Data Interchange (**EDI**) as taught by Phyllis K. Sokol in the text book "From EDI Electronic Data Interchange to A Business Initiative" published November 23, 1994.

The **Template** layered product contains:

The SNAP programming language (One manual used)

The Workflow Template (Two manual used)

The Web Component (Not used in this Office Action)

These three layered products work together.

The documentation sets for the products contains the following manuals.

SNAP released June 1997

SNAP Language Reference (Not used in this Office Action)

Using the SNAP Language (Not used in this Office Action)

Using the SNAP Communication Component (Referred to as **COM**)

Using the SNAP Graphic User Interface Component (Not used in this Office Action)

Getting Started with SNAP (Not used in this Office Action)

Using the SNAP Display Editors (Not used in this Office Action)

Art Unit: 2124

SNAP Class Library Reference (Not used in this Office Action)

Using the SNAP External Application Software Component (Not used in this Office Action)

Using the SNAP Development Environment (Referred to as **SNAP**)

SNAP Module Library Reference (Not used in this Office Action)

Using the SNAP Permanent Storage Component (Not used in this Office Action)

Workflow released September 1997

Developing a WFT Workflow System (Referred to as **WFT**)

Using the WFT Development Environment (Referred to as **USING** - made of record)

WFT Library Reference (Not used in this Office Action)

Web Component

Using the Web Component (Referred to as **WEB**)

Training Manuals

Workflow version 8.0 product Training manual 1997 (Portion - referred to as **Train**)

Since, these products work together they constitute a single reference and can be used as the basis for a rejection based on anticipated by a product offering.

Motivation to Combine References

The Template product line contains a Workflow system. The system is designed to integrate existing application frameworks (existing Templates) for specific industries (**Train**, page 3, Business level of the figure "Our Family of Templates"). EDI as taught throughout the Sokol reference is a collection of specific industry standard groups that formulate industry standard vocabulary models (**EDI**, EDI Data, page 275) and transaction sets (**EDI**, EDI transaction sets, page 275) and the standard data requirements for the two (**EDI**, page 275, EDIFACT). These industry standards make up what Template calls a vertical template (**Train**, page 3, Business Templates (vertical templates). It would have well within the abilities to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to use the Template Object-Oriented Computer Aided Software Engineering (OO-CASE) tool with integrated Workflow system and integrate a specific industry standard application framework as provided by an EDI standards committee.

Art Unit: 2124

The modeling of an EDI environment in workflow would involve the Workflow Design Environment as documented (**USING**, Chapter 3 and **WFT**, Chapter 4) . And the EDI environment being modeled such as on pages 82 - 86 showing different industries who use EDI. The communications scenarios for EDI are taught on page 54 of the EDI book. Furthermore, the ability to provide communications support to EDI Gateway (**EDI**, page 275) is also supported by the Template product with the built in ability to provide external interfaces (**SNAP**, reference on communicating with external systems and connected databases, chapter 5). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to combine the teachings of Template and EDI, because "Electronic data interchange is the *intercompany computer to computer* communication of *standard* business transactions in a *standard format* that permits the receiver to perform the intended transaction." (**EDI**, page 14, first paragraph).

Claim Interpretation

7. Claims 45, 47, 62 and 67 contain the word "***essentially***" which is indefinite. The term makes the limitations associated indefinite by blurring the clear and concise meaning. The word essentially is interpreted to "***mean one of***".

Art Unit: 2124

Claim 43

Template teaches a computerized method for use in an asynchronous messaging environment (Template is an object oriented system, object messaging is asynchronous, (**Using**, page 4-12, Object Oriented environment) , wherein said messaging environment comprises at least one original message comprised of original message data(Template, work items contain transaction data (**Using**, page 3-3, work item is a transaction in the combination it represents a transaction in the EDI environment such as page 54 of the EDI book), comprising:

- providing, through a monitoring message (Template provides a monitor Chapter 9)) , at least part of said original message data to a central message repository (**EDI** teaches a central repository this is part of EDI such as EDI data and EDIFACT EDI pages 20 (figure 2.5) 97- 101, 43-45, 117 – 118, 239 – 240 and Transaction sets EDI page 95, 98 – 99) ;
- populating a transaction record in said central message repository (the work item which conforms to an EDI transaction set populated by EDI data (**Using**, page 6-11, Work item creation, Figure 6-3)

with said original message data provided by said monitoring message (Template monitoring process which contains attributes for the monitoring process WFT, page 9-23, Figure 9-23); wherein said original message data comprises the status of a process, sub process or **activity** (Template, monitoring process as per page - see WFT, page 9-23, Figure 9-8 see Task).

Examiner's Remark

In view of the **OR** in the limitation the limitation the Examiner chose to meet the burden of prosecution is in **bold** above.

Claim 44

A method as in claim 43 further comprising reviewing data collected in said transaction record (combination as per claim 43 of combining a workflow system with conformance to an EDI industry). (Template monitoring process provides capability of reviewing data collected).

Claim 45

A method as in claim.43 wherein said original message data comprises at least one field of data selected from the group consisting essentially of date data, **time data**, customer number data, materials data, quantity data or amount data (**WFT**, page 9-23, Figure 9-8 see Last Update).

Examiner's Remark

In view of the **OR** in the limitation the limitation the Examiner chose to meet the burden of prosecution is in **bold** above.

Claim 46

A method as in claim 43 wherein said original message data comprises at least one field selected from the group consisting essentially of **PROCESS IDENTIFIER**, SUB-PROCESS IDENTIFIER, ACTIVITY IDENTIFIER. CUSTOMER NUMBER, PART NUMBER,QUANTTTY, DATE or DATE. (**WFT**, page 9-23, Figure 9-8 see ID).

Examiner's Remark

In view of the **OR** in the limitation the limitation the Examiner chose to meet the burden of prosecution is in **bold** above.

Art Unit: 2124

Claim 47

A method as in claim 43 wherein said original message data comprises at least one field selected from the group consisting essentially of ProID, SbProID, Custno, Partno, Qty, Date or **Time**. (WFT, page 9-23, Figure 9-8 see Last Update).

Examiner's Remark

In view of the **OR** in the limitation the limitation the Examiner chose to meet the burden of prosecution is in **bold** above.

Claim 48

A method as in claim 43 further comprising providing the **status of a process**, sub process or activity by providing access to said central message repository. (WFT, page 9-23, Figure 9-8 see State).

Examiner's Remark

In view of the **OR** in the limitation the limitation the Examiner chose to meet the burden of prosecution is in **bold** above.

Claim 49

A method as in claim 43 further comprising adding, to said monitoring message, data other than said original message data. (WFT, page 9-23, monitoring information displayed).

Claim 50

A method as in claim 43 further comprising updating said transaction record. (WFT, page 9-23, Figure 9-8 see Last Update).

Claim 51

A method as in claim 50 further comprising updating said transaction record(WFT, work item as per claim 43 and page 9-23, Figure 9-8 see Last Update) by:

- providing, through a second monitoring message (monitoring message as per display WFT, page 9-23 Figure 9-8).
 , a second original message data to said transaction record(**WFT**, the work items as per claim 43 – displayed the monitoring on **WFT** page 9-23); and,
populating said transaction record with said second original message data provided by said second monitoring message(**WFT** page 9-23 – the displayed data part of the monitor message).

Claim 52

A method as in claim 43 further comprising completing a process (The exact states the work items can have are *user defined* by the Task Editor see Using chapter 6 – The complete state is visible by **WFT**, page 9-23, Figure 9-8, see Requisition Destroyed).

Claim 53

Art Unit: 2124

A method as in claim 52 further comprising completing said transaction record(The exact states the work items can have are *user defined* by the Task Editor see Using chapter 6 – The complete state is visible by WFT, page 9-23, Figure 9-8, see Requisition Destroyed and Approved as in completed).

Claim 54

A method as in claim 43 further comprising aborting a process(The exact states the work items can have are *user defined* by the Task Editor see Using chapter 6 – The complete state is visible by WFT, page 9-23, Figure 9-8, see Requisition Destroyed as in Refused – causes work item aborted).

Claim 55

A method as in claim 54 further comprising providing, in said transaction record, an indication that the record has been abandoned (As though not explicitly states abandoned (The exact states the work items can have are *user defined* by the Task Editor see Using chapter 6 – The complete state is visible by WFT, page 9-23, Figure 9-8, see Requisition Destroyed - Refused).

Claim 71

A central message repository created by the method of claim 43. (**EDI**, transaction sets as per claim 43).

Claim 72

A transaction record created by the method of claim 43. (WFT, page 9-23, Figure 9-8, see Requisition Queued – last one in display after creation process)

Claim 83

A method as in claim 43 wherein said **status of a process**, sub process or activity is a simulated process. (WFT, page 9-23, Figure 9-8 see State).

Examiner's Remark

In view of the **OR** in the limitation the limitation the Examiner chose to meet the burden of prosecution is in **bold** above.

Claim 84

A method as in claim 43 wherein said original message data is simulated original message data. (**WFT**, page 9-23 the monitor is a form of simulator).

Claim 56

Template teaches a computerized method for use in an asynchronous messaging environment (as per claim 43), wherein said messaging environment (as per claim 43) comprises at least one original message comprised of original message data(as per claim 43), comprising:

- monitoring a process(as per claim 43), which is comprised of at least a first and second sub process (**WFT**, page 9-23, Figure 9-8 see State – as task from a task is a sub process), by generating original message data from each of said first and second sub process (**WFT**, page 9-23, Figure 9-8 see State – as task from a task is a sub process);

Art Unit: 2124

- transmitting said original message data from said first sub process(**WFT**, page 9-23, Figure 9-8 see State – as task from a task is a sub process), via a first monitoring message, to a central message repository(as per claim 43).;
- storing said original message data from said first sub process(**WFT**, page 9-23, Figure 9-8 see State – as task from a task is a sub process), in a transaction record in said central message repository (as per claim 43);
- transmitting said original message data from said second sub process, via a second monitoring message, to said central message repository (**EDI** teaches a central repository this is part of EDI such as EDI data and EDIFACT EDI pages 20 (figure 2.5) 97- 101, 43-45, 117 – 118, 239 – 240 and Transaction sets EDI page 95, 98 – 99) ; and,
- storing said original message data from said second sub process, in said transaction record in said central message repository (**EDI** teaches a central repository this is part of EDI such as EDI data and EDIFACT EDI pages 20 (figure 2.5) 97- 101, 43-45, 117 – 118, 239 – 240 and Transaction sets EDI page 95, 98 – 99); wherein said original message data comprises the status of said sub processes (**WFT**, page 9-23, Figure 9-8 see State – as task from a task is a sub process).

Claim 57

A method as in claim 56 further comprising determining the status of said process (**WFT**, page 9-23, Figure 9-8 see State).

Claim 58

A method as in claim 56 wherein said original message data from each of said first and second sub processes comprises a sub process specific set of data (**WFT**, page 9-23, Figure 9-8 as per claim 51).

Claim 59

A method as in claim 56 wherein said **first monitoring message** or said second monitoring message further comprises altered original message data (**WFT**, page 9-23, Figure 9-8 as per claim 51 and Last Update).

Examiner's Remark

In view of the **OR** in the limitation the limitation the Examiner chose to meet the burden of prosecution is in **bold** above.

Claim 60

A method as in claim 56 wherein said **first monitoring message** or said second monitoring message further comprises data added to said original message data (**WFT**, page 9-23, Figure 9-8 as per claim 51 and Last Update).

Examiner's Remark

In view of the **OR** in the limitation the limitation the Examiner chose to meet the burden of prosecution is in **bold** above.

Claim 61

Art Unit: 2124

A method as in claim 56 further comprising reviewing said central message repository (**EDI**, EDI Data and EDI transaction sets as per claim 43).

Claim 62

A method as in claim 61 wherein reviewing said central message repository (**EDI**, EDI Data and EDI transaction sets as per claim 43) further comprises reviewing information from the group (WFT, page 9-23, Node) consisting essentially of **order information**, customer information, process efficiency information, snapshot information, time slice information, daily information, weekly information, monthly information, trend information or performance information. (WFT, page 9-23, Figure 9-8 as Requisition information in figure).

Examiner's Remark

In view of the **OR** in the limitation the limitation the Examiner chose to meet the burden of prosecution is in **bold** above.

Claim 63

A method as in claim 56 further comprising distributing process progress information in real time. (WFT, page 9-23, Display in Figure 9-8, real time as per WFT page 9-3, sentence with dynamic).

Claim 64

A method as in claim 63 further comprising distributing said process progress information (WFT, page 9-23, Figure 9-8) through **broadcasting** or Wireless Application Protocol. (**COM**, page 2-3, peer-to-peer supports broadcasting).

Examiner's Remark

In view of the **OR** in the limitation the limitation the Examiner chose to meet the burden of prosecution is in **bold** above.

Claim 65

A method as in claim 63 further comprising distributing said process progress information through an intranet, extranet, or the **Internet**. (**Web**, page 1-2 World Wide Web).

Examiner's Remark

In view of the **OR** in the limitation the limitation the Examiner chose to meet the burden of prosecution is in **bold** above.

Claim 66

A method as in claim 56 further comprising analyzing said central data repository in order to determine a process trend. (WFT, page 9-19, Figure 9-6, Queue Monitor shows trends that impact data repository).

Claim 67

A method as in claim 66 wherein said process trend is selected from the group consisting **essentially of time between sub-processes**, variances by customer, variances by order amount, bottlenecks or seasonal variations. (WFT, page 9-23, Figure 9-8. Last update and display of each change is an entry in monitor display)

Art Unit: 2124

Examiner's Remark

In view of the **OR** in the limitation the limitation the Examiner chose to meet the burden of prosecution is in **bold** above.

Claim 68

A method as in claim 67 wherein orders may be accelerated as a result of said analysis. (**WFT**, page 9-13, set priority).

Claim 69

A method as in claim 56 further comprising providing a monitoring message database. (**WFT**, page 9-36 to 9-37, RSTO).

Claim 73

Template teaches an apparatus for use in an asynchronous messaging environment(as per claim 43), wherein said.

messaging environment comprises at least one original message comprised of original message data (as per claim 43), comprising:

- means for providing, through a monitoring message(as per claim 43), at least part of said original message data to a central message repository (as per claim 43) ;
 - means for populating a transaction record in said central message repository with said original message data (as per claim 43) provided by said monitoring message (as per claim 43);
- wherein said original message data comprises the **status of a process**, sub process or activity. (as per claim 83).

Examiner's Remark

In view of the **OR** in the limitation the limitation the Examiner chose to meet the burden of prosecution is in **bold** above.

Claim 74

An apparatus as in claim 73 further comprising means for reviewing data collected in said transaction record. (**WFT**, monitor as per claim 43).

Claim 75

An apparatus as in claim 73 further comprising means for broadcasting data collected in said transaction record . (**COM**, page 2-3, peer-to-peer supports broadcasting).

Claim 76

An apparatus as in claim 73 further comprising means for providing the **status of a process** (**WFT**, page 9-23, Figure 9-8 see State), sub process or activity by providing access to said central message repository. (**EDI** teaches a central repository this is part of EDI such as EDI data and EDIFACT EDI pages 20 (figure 2.5) 97- 101, 43-45, 117 – 118, 239 – 240 and Transaction sets EDI page 95, 98 – 99).

Examiner's Remark

In view of the **OR** in the limitation the limitation the Examiner chose to meet the burden of prosecution is in **bold** above.

Claim 77

An apparatus as in claim 73 further comprising means for adding, to said monitoring message, data other than said original message data. As per claim 43.

Claim 78

Template teaches an apparatus for use in an asynchronous messaging environment (as per claim 43), wherein said messaging environment comprises at least one original message comprised of original message data (as per claim 43), comprising:

- means for monitoring a process, which is comprised of at least a first and second sub process(as per claim 56), by generating original message data from each of said first and second sub process (as per claim 56);
- means for transmitting said original message data from said first sub process (as per claim 56), via a first monitoring message, to a central message repository(as per claim 56);
- means for storing said original message data from said first sub process, in a transaction record in said central message repository (as per claim 56);
- means for transmitting said original message data from said second sub process (as per claim 56), via a second monitoring message(as per claim 56), to said central message repository (as per claim 56); and, - means for storing said original message data from said second sub process(as per claim 56), in said transaction record in said central message repository (as per claim 56);

wherein said original message data comprises the status of said sub processes (as per claim 56).

Claim 79

An apparatus as in claim 78 further comprising means for reviewing said central message repository. (**EDI** teaches a central repository this is part of EDI such as EDI data and EDIFACT EDI pages 20 (figure 2.5) 97- 101, 43-45, 117 – 118, 239 – 240 and Transaction sets EDI page 95, 98 – 99).

Claim 80

An apparatus as in claim 78 further comprising means for distributing said process progress information through **broadcasting** or Wireless Application Protocol. (**COM**, page 2-3, peer-to-peer supports broadcasting).

Claim 81

An apparatus as in claim 78 further comprising means for providing a monitoring message database. (**WFT**, page 9-36 to 9-37, RSTO).

8. Claims 70 and 82 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over

Template Software and **EDI** as applied to claims 43 – 69 , 71-81 above, and further in view of

The **XML Handbook**, by Charles F. Goldfarb et al, published 1998.

Motivation to Combine References

The **Template** reference teaches a monitoring and modeling system which provides a HTML (a tagged language) capability within the Web reference manual and **EDI** teaches the centralization of messages in support of implementing an EDI solution. However, neither reference explicitly teaches implementing the system with the language XML. It is XML who teaches using this well known programming language. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to use XML in place of HTML link XML to a central message repository, because XML allows for rapid changes (**XML**, page 103).

Claim 70

A method as in claim 56 further comprising providing a report via an XML link to said central message repository (**EDI**, EDI Transaction sets).

Claim 82

An apparatus as in claim 78 further comprising means for providing a report via an XML link to said central message repository (**EDI**, EDI Transaction sets).

Correspondence Information

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to **Todd Ingberg** whose telephone number is (703) 305-9775. The examiner can normally be reached during the following hours:

Monday	Tuesday	Wednesday	Thursday	Friday
6:15 – 1:30	6:15- 3:45	6:15 – 4:45	6:15-3:45	6:15-130

This schedule began December 1, 2003 and is subject to change.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, **Kakali Chaki** can be reached on (703) 305-9662. Please, note that as of August 4, 2003 the **FAX number** changed for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Also, be advised the United States Patent Office **new address** is

Art Unit: 2124

Post Office Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-9700.

Special Notice

10. Please, Note the Examiner's telephone number will change in October when the Art Unit moves to the new location. The Examiner's new telephone number will be as follows:

(571) 272-3723

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Todd Ingberg', with a long, sweeping horizontal line extending from the end of the signature.

Todd Ingberg

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 2124

August 21, 2004